


Office Action does not establish that prosecuting claims directed to all the species would complicate the action or result in additional work for the Offices, as compared to requiring multiple applications which is both burdensome to the application, and to the Office which could easily dispose of all of the species in this application, rather than having to process multiple applications on the same subject matter. In any event, Applicants provisionally elect Species III. Applicant respectfully submits that all of the elected claims could read on Species III. However claims 26, 27, 31, 32, 37, are specifically directed to subject matter that relates to species other than Species III, but these claims do not exclude the possibility of an RF circuit as part of the electronic identification device.

Respectfully submitted,



Bryan K. Wheelock  
Reg. No. 31,441

Date: June 21, 2006

Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.  
7700 Bonhomme, Suite 400  
St. Louis, Missouri 63105  
(314) 726-7500